

## November 26, 1979 Letter from Prime Minister Van Agt to President Carter

#### Citation:

"Letter from Prime Minister Van Agt to President Carter", November 26, 1979, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Archive A.A.M. van Agt box 41: TNF Dossier, Catholic Documentation Center, Radbout University, Nijmegen. Contributed by Ruud van Dijk.

https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/document/113529

### Summary:

Dutch Prime Minister van Agt responds to President Carter's concerns about a Dutch proposal to reduce NATO modernization. He writes that in light of Carter's concern, he will not press the Dutch proposal but that his government remains concerned over the size of the modernization program.

# Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

# **Original Language:**

English

#### **Contents:**

Original Scan

Page

⊸ \\_\_\_ \\_\_



MINISTER-PRESIDENT

The Hague, November 26, 1979

Nr.U 1479112626

Dear Mr. President,

only The capability of tak of demonstrating Alliance attaches Force crucial importance view assure consideration Nether lands <del>(</del>† ω Modernisation you, -+ the hat matter u to your letter of first of all taking ing the ches to 0 Fh December testing the cuing difficult for the and Arms central s the role all, that we fully share your pr decisions on Theatre Nuclear Alliance. This ne credibility lt decisions, significance of arms cont. Control will given very November This is control. and سو but that Ծ 0 careful Jagwinerid Let me not 0 th the also the

primary in this new draw and now the long range TNF f should be perfectly restraint in our arm matter with Secretary Brow colleages in the Alliance. the You max1mum room conscious outlined Nether lands government will be before meeting he will threat your respect is importance the be 0 f particular posed before the Minister Present of the Nu certainly aware the ő Alliance an effective need by armaments liance places th very\_difficult that that Nuclear field, in reconcilable the Soviet military build-up field, but that this firmness attention of Defence, position of 0 tor our seek Brown the the ω policy policy further nature H Planning ក ល Nether lands firm should, arms incur to some aspu-icy considerations. 'ands is fully to t the Netherlands well with the questions. Mr. and 0 Hh control contact อร Group Scholten, h government the decisions with giving however, with in The approach 0 D giving The other this like has 0 Hh ն rt the Hague n i Basic ő

SECRET

In line with this point of view, the Netherlands government has, in the light of discussions in parliament, undertaken to advocate with the allies that the decision to modernize long range TNF should be limited at this stage to a decision to produce the weapon, while a decision on a possible basing in a number of European countries should be taken at a later stage when we will be able to judge the results of arms control negotiations. I would greatly appreciate if the United States government would give careful consideration to the merits of this approach.

SECRET

As a very important point for the Netherlands government, I should like to underline our problems with the recommended size of the LRTNF.

It has always been our understanding that, after the working groups had finished their reports, governments would be fully free to exercise their political judgment concerning the recommendations presented to them. But even the working groups have made clear that the recommended size of the programme was not unassailable, that it was a matter of judgment rather than of calculation, and also, that the size could be smaller or even much smaller if arms control were successful.

In the light of the arguments in your letter, and also taking account of your important offer concerning the unilateral withdrawal of 1.000 warheads, we are prepared not to press our view of halving the programme, but I must submit in all frankness that the proposed size still poses very great difficulties for my government, if we are to find the necessary understanding with our public for the modernisation programme.

In our judgment it is politically very important for us not to create the impression that NATO approaches the coming arms control negotiations with worst case assumptions concerning the possibilities of achieving a positive outcome, by starting out already at this stage with a decision to produce the full number proposed. It would, therefore, in our judgment, be better to begin with a substantially lower number. This would leave open the possibility that NATO could decide at a later stage, for example after two years, to consider going up to the higher number if negotiations would not be sufficiently successful.

A lower number would also help to remove another very difficult aspect from the present proposals. I mean the one-for-one replacement of the Pershing-I by the Pershing-II missiles. Although these systems are not fully comparable, the production of the full 108 systems could not fail to be interpreted as undercutting the so-called Option-3 proposals in MBFR, which call for a reduction of 36 of the 108 Pershing-I missiles.

#### SECRET

- 3 -

The Netherlands government and parliament have from the first attached very great importance to the MBFR negotiations as a first start of arms control in the European region, and the nuclear offer of Option-3 has acquired particular importance as a real and genuine step in the direction of reducing the dependence on nuclear weapons, a factor which is of prime importance in the policy programme of the Netherlands government and is strongly supported by all the main parties in this country.

Finally, I should like to emphasize once again that we are fully convinced of the great importance of the decisions which are now before the Alliance and we are also completely aware that it is of very great importance that the decision would be taken by all members collectively. It is for that reason, that political deliberations among the allies in the remaining weeks should lead to decisions, which would be acceptable not only to the Netherlands government, but which also would find adequate support in the Netherlands parliament.

It is with that purpose in mind that I have availed myself of this opportunity to put our views on this vital issue for the Alliance before you in all frankness.

Sincerely,

L. And Y

(A.A.M. van Agt)

#### SECRET