**Remarks:**

Attached script exemplifies what State objects to re RFE personal attacks on Husak (i.e. recent exchange of memos.) State, naturally, doesn't see these scripts normally.

1-3: What was RFE's reaction to State guidance? How can we ensure future compliance with State guidance?  

3-6: Both John and I discussed State's comment with [insert name], and the comment was also sent (OVR).
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to ___ in writing. He seemed to understand State's reasoning and agreed to take it up with me personally during a meeting with the Desk Officer, both John and I do not consider it "formal guidance" similar to that outlined in the policy guidance papers.

It was passed to us more in terms of advise than as a formal position—they used the phrase "it was suggested" in their memo. The lengthy by the way, did not contain any attack on Husak personally and this seems to indicate their compliance with State's recommendation.

Although I frequently ask State for any comments they may have on RFE broadcast policies, this recent exchange has been the first time they've offered any since I've been on board (i.e. about 5 months). John may have some comments for you on how we can ensure that RFE complies with State guidance. For my part, I've found et al., generally willing to accept suggestions which I make to them on the basis of material crossing my desk and discussions with people here in Headquarters.

Dave

4-5: Thank you. In view of apparent 16 sensitivities on me, to retain this exchange in file.