July 28, 1960 Robert Tuck, 'Radio Liberty Programming II'

Citation:

"Robert Tuck, 'Radio Liberty Programming II'", July 28, 1960, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Released by the Central Intelligence Agency on October 16, 2019, in response to Mandatory Declassification Review request EOM-2018-00930. Contributed by A. Ross Johnson. https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/document/290922

Summary:

A report by Radio Liberty Policy Advisor Robert Tuck reviews strengths and weaknesses of Radio Liberty programming.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Blavatnik Family Foundation

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan

Approved for Release: 2019/09/25 C06768122



7-1.3

R. Tuck - 10

Radio Liberty Programming II

Since my first report of 31 December 1959 on Redio Liberty programming policy we have made considerable progress in establishing effective lieless and guidance procedures. With the close cooperation of Manich and New York we have produced formally approved Policy Position Statements on such varied subjects as China, Poland, "Captive Nations," the Sussit Conference, Discrement, Latin America, and the Post-Greent Situation. This close cooperation and fast communications have also belied in getting tactical guidances to Munich quickly on such bot nows developments as the U-2 incident, the breakup of the Susmit conference, and the Japanese riots and cancellation of President Sisentower's visit to Tokyo. Punich, in turn, has improved its reporting in daily telexed susceries and the weekly singres so that we have a such better idea of how they handle such subjects in the actual broadcasts.

Resume of the shortage and shifting of project personnel in the past aix scaths, all policy guidance drafts (except for Namich's on Discrement) have had to be originated by us rather than by New York or Munich, which has not been entirely desirable. Mr. Sargeant's suggestion that we limit Policy Position Statements to brief nots of assumptions and objectives, leaving the notheds of treatment to the deaks, has made frafts both sealer to propers and coordinate and more scaningful for the project. My visit to Munich was of tremandous help to me in this latter regard, and I hope Munich has Issued those guidances prepared since my return more relevant and useful. I also hope that the essignment of Ed Van Der Rhoer to Munich and Emich's Deb Chandland to New York will lead to initiation of more drafts in both places for treatment particularly of internal Soviet problems, not only because this will spend up the completion of necessary policy guidances, but because it sight atimisate more of the kind of on-the-spot, long-range thinking and planning ideas necessary to make Radio Liberty progress more offentive.

In this connection, after rending neveral menths of recent Radio Liberty Russian language scripts, as well as the evaluations by fairly recent defectors and repairlates from the USIN, I have come to several conclusions and recommendations, for that they are worth.

1. Madio idiarty's coverage of major breaking developments in the world news has been first-class. Our Soviet Listeners have been given a balanced, picture of such stories as the U-2 incident, the Soviet break-up of the Sammit meeting and discrement talks, Mikoyan's trips to Latin America and Horuny, the Japanese riots, the Sino-Coviet ideological debate,

07 42 MB 78-02727 R

Enropiciney's trip to Austria, the RB-b/, Africa and Cuba, etc., in a responsibily informed, objective way which cannot but impress and interest them -- and make them realize what a one-sided and distorted account they receive from their own media. The Press Reviews on all these stories have been particularly effective, and I as gied to see they are increasingly veighted with non-American courses -- which makes the independent image are believable and the contents of the Review more telling.

- 2. This conclusion is supported by the Ortside Penalists (recent defactors and repairistes from the USSR) whose reactions over-all have gradually become increasingly favorable to hadio Liberty newscasts and Press Reviews.
- interesting and provocative scripts on a wide diversity of subjects, internal and "interesting and provocative scripts on a wide diversity of subjects, internal and "internalised" external. This, too, is confirmed generally by the Outside Pauclists' resultions, although there are and will continue to be differences of opinion based on the individual evaluator's analysis of the attitudes of the Soviet audience. (I personally like the "Open World" and "Notebook" series on America, Europa, Africa and Asia, but feel that once subjects are solicated news on the basis of the interests and appeliations of the writers than of the Soviet audience (e.g., the number of scripts on origres and Aussians abroad), because they are "living documentaries" or interesting production jobs, or because sees of our uriters do not have enough information or are not up to consistently top-flight enalysis of internal Soviet developments.)
- 4. Our only residues, diough a fundamental one, results the businally negative approach of our commentaries on internal Soviet affairs. We do a very effective job in pointing out, albeit in a responsible way, the faults of the Seviet system, giving the lie to official distortions, and showing our listeners that we know their problems. For example, Marick's Mr. Shulen. who was certainly a workborse in the June progress, sade come telling points to his scripts on "Monopolistic Capitaliss and Communist Monopolist" (24 June) and "hut Wes There Only One Lebber?" (20 June); New York's serialization of Fetaror-Skitzlete' "Die Kronstodt Thomis Today" in "The Soviet Union Today and Deserror" and Desicke's "Comprehensive Information" ("Open Horid," S5 June) and "Differentiations of Soviet Society" (26 June), and Paules Sperbor's script on "Problems of Discussions between Writers of the West and of the "Docision Com" (25 June) are all good, affective scripts -- so for as they so. But I wonder what the lang-rouse impact on our listeners will be. By guest in that there will be a wide range of regetions, between the extreme of bookship, on the cas hand, toward this "soft-Soviet programme" and pure glas, on the other, at being <u>entertained</u> by such articulate criticism of that's system which many people recent but are thermalises unable to so criticize. But does this get us where we went to go! Shouldn't Redic Liberty by coying compiling more positively pertinent to the foriet citizen to help him halo himself modify that aretari

Coviously, we emmot sit out less in Manich and New York and tell our Soviet listerers to stick their nacks out by advocating redical changes in the Soviet system. That would be not only pointless but dangerous to them and contrary to Redio Liberty's policy. But it seems increasingly incombent on us, if we are going to devote nows attention to internal Soviet affects, to become more positive in our consentary, to suggest by indirection seem alternatives and preferable courses of action within the Immerory of our listeness' existence and experience. More and more of these alternatives are should up in the Soviet press, as economists and other officials discuss such proposale as inter-holkings unions, pricing policy, labor and wages, reorganization of local administration, etc. Radio Liberty's commentators could make a significant contribution by assuming the rais of an open form for these proposals which Soviet publicate often than out as "trial ballooms," discussing each on its own merits and weighing them against each other. (And in discussing such proposals and issues there is no reason why Radio Liberty consentators could not take sides, if their engagements are substantively will taken, in the Interest of the Soviet people themselves, and their tone friendly and positive.)

I have several recommendations for schiering this:

- (a) Encourage more emplation of scripts in which this more positive approach is taken. New York's Denicke made a landable offort in at least two of ids June "Decomposist" corripts. In one -- "The Country Without Toxes" (2) June) - he soborty pointed up discussions of turnover ve. Income texes in the USSA, the decision of the Covernment to Lighten the tex burden on the people, and then preceded to show different ways of doing that, concluding that the graduated income tax was the most equitable nothed. Even bether and bis "Engagemist/Ovokia" caript on the "Soviet Economy and the Free Barket" (Il June), in which he discussed the "black servet" which has erism because of the Soviet citizen's desire for higher quality foreign products bought from tourists, etc., and should how the problem of quality is solved in free markets by the consumer's dictios. He reductly concluded that, with he could not protend to call for a besic reorganization of the Soviet economic system, Script economists were seriously discussion ways to encourage production of higher grality nervisadise and swely some changes could be made in the existing distribution system to provide for the commer clement. If this type of compruntive symposch was taken consistently, I think all of Redio Liberty's scripts on internal Soviet effeirs would find an increasingly recentive audience.
- (b) Golicit the views and positive suggestions of kertern experts on this problem. I am confident that academicisms and publication like Painsod, Inhales, Draminski, Robert Tucker, David Granick (and perhaps Solemon Schwerz and Maus Jeany) in the U.S. and Leonard Schwerz and Maus Jeany) in the U.S. and Leonard Schwerz and South Granic-shaw in the United Kingdon could give us a hand. If Game South or Jim Uritellow, for example, were to brief them on the problem and show them that we need serious help in attenting our writers, we right kill two birds with one cions: (1) we could got some valid suggestions for positive long-range lines for treatment of the broad internal Soviet Issues Radio Liberty will be discussing over the months and years about, and (2) we could do some

Approved for Release: 2019/09/25 C06768122

very effective public relations work by solling them on Radio Liberty's serious approach. Manich eight try this out on sad enlist the support of les Grallow, editor of the Current Digost of the Seviet Press, while he is evaluating Radio Liberty progress. (Ranich's plane for Grallow's evaluation, incidentally, impress so as being excellent, and I tope that relationship works out well for both.)

(c) Encourage some really productive joint "abail consists" by sembare of the Research Department and the Deaks with the purpose of laying does besit. Issue-range positive lines of approach for hedio Liberty commentaries on such issues on the CPSU, government and administrative reorganization, industry, agriculture, prives, termition, labor and education. I still impend to revise sy initial attempt on the CPSU along these lines, -- after I finish the PPS's on "Penceful Competition of Ideas" and "Newly Emerging Combride of Africa and Asia."