

July 10, 1972

**Hungarian Embassy in the DPRK, Telegram, 10 July
1972. Subject: Vietnamese Reactions to the Joint
Declaration of the Two Koreas**

Citation:

"Hungarian Embassy in the DPRK, Telegram, 10 July 1972. Subject: Vietnamese Reactions to the Joint Declaration of the Two Koreas", July 10, 1972, Wilson Center Digital Archive, MOL, XIX-J-1-j Korea, 1972, 59. doboz, 81-107, 00958/25/1972.

Translated for NKIDP by Balazs Szalontai.

<https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/document/115802>

Summary:

The telegram discusses the South Vietnamese reactions to the joint declaration of North and South Korea. The ambassador expresses his reservations about it, as he fears it may disarm the revolutionaries in the South.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from ROK Ministry of Unification

Original Language:

Hungarian

Contents:

Translation - English

Translation - Korean

In response to a question, the South Vietnamese [PRG] ambassador, who paid me a farewell visit on [July] 8th, declared, among others, the following:

1) In his view, the North Korean-South Korean joint declaration is [at least] as harmful to the existing but weak South Korean revolutionary forces as it is useful for the relaxation of tension on the Korean Peninsula, because it disarms [the revolutionaries].

2) In his view, for the time being the main beneficiary of the joint declaration is Park Chung Hee, because it reinforces his position.

Comment: it is possible that this is not just the personal opinion of the South Vietnamese ambassador but-taking into consideration the present difficult conditions in Vietnam-also the opinion of Vietnam.

The South Vietnamese ambassador also emphasized that the Vietnamese had supported the idea of [finding] a peaceful solution for the Korean question from the very beginning, and they would also like to solve their own Vietnamese question by peaceful means, but only in a principled way, without giving up their principles. The North Korean-South Korean joint declaration makes one think that the North gave up its principles, but this issue requires further analysis.

137 - K.

이러한 경우, [7] 8항은 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다.

1) 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다.

2) 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다.

이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다.

이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다. 이 조항은, 이 조항의 목적(목적)을 달성할 수 없다.