

September 7, 1977

Report, Brazil, 'Official Mission to Washington, DC, While Representing Brazil at the Treaty Signing Ceremonies on the New Agreements Over the Panama Canal'

Citation:

"Report, Brazil, 'Official Mission to Washington, DC, While Representing Brazil at the Treaty Signing Ceremonies on the New Agreements Over the Panama Canal'", September 7, 1977, Wilson Center Digital Archive, CPDOC Archives, Antonio Azeredo da Silveira, AAS 1974.04/23. Obtained and translated by Fundação Getúlio Vargas. https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/document/116859

Summary:

Vice-President Adalberto Pereira reports on a meeting with Republican Congressman Paul Findley, who proposed, on a personal basis, the creation of a nuclear mutual surveillance system between Brazil and Argentina, with a view to allaying doubts about a possible arms race. Findley had already presented the proposal to Ambassador Geraldo Holanda Cavalcanti, (aide to Minister Silveira) on the occasion of the visit to Brasília on August 23 1977. According to the agreement he proposed, Brazil and Argentina would renounce the intention to develop a nuclear device and would accept mutual inspections of their respective nuclear facilities.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

Original Language:

Portuguese

Contents:

Original Scan
Translation - English

AAS 1974, 04. 23

SECRETO

DA REPÚBLICA AO EXCELENTISSIMO SENHOR PRESIDENTE DA REPÚBLICA, DA MISSÃO OFICIAL A WASHINGTON, D. C., REPRESENTANDO O BRASIL NAS CERIMÔNIAS DE ASSINATURAS DOS MOVOS TRATADOS SOBRE O CANAL DO PANAMÃ, NO DIA 7 DE SETEMBRO DE 1977.

SECRETO

VVIX - 6A.

SECRETO

- 10/14 -

Ja na manha de 7 de setembro, recebi, Chancelaria da Embaixada, visita do Deputado Robert Findley, democrata do Estado de Illinois. Esclareceu que iniciativa era estritamente pessoal, não envolvendo a Administração norte-americana ou seu partido, e tinha por único objetivo transmitir suas ideias no sentido de uma cooperação Brasil-Argentina no campo nuclear. Após breves e genéricas considerações, e se referir ao encontro sobre o assunto, tivera em Brasilia, com um alto funcionario do Itamaraty, entregou-me carta, cujo texto me permito submeter a Vossa Excelência, em anexo. Aludi, em resposta, à posição brasileira em matéria de política nuclear, tal como definida por Vossa Excelência, reafirmando, a titulo de conclusão de conversa, que o brasil não estava pre ocupado em obter armas e sim energia, essencial ao seu deschvolvimento.

Logo em seguida, recebi a visita de cortesia do Ministro das Relações Exteriores e Culto da Argentina, Chanceler Oscar Antonio Montes, que veio apresentar seus cumprimentos pela Data Nacional brasileira. Durante o encontro, referimo-nos ao caráter permanente da amizade en tre nossos países. Interessei-me pelo estado de saúde do Almirante Guzetti, que disse o Chanceler estar evoluindo satisfatoriamente. No correr da conversa, revelou-me o Chanceler argentino que seu Governo também havia objetado ao parágrafo final da proposta de "Declaração de Washington", ressaltando, a propósito, a coincidência de posi-

SECRETO

1.10

SECRETO

- 11/14 -

ções entre os dois países. Finalmente, disse o Ministro Montes que desconhecia, até aquele momento, a iniciativa de Senadores norte-americanos, contrários à assinatura dos novos acordos, antes referida.

A partir das 12 horas, participei, junto com a minha comitiva, da recepção que, na Embaixada do Brasil, ofereciam o Embaixador e Senhora João Baptista Pinheiro, pela Data Nacional Brasileira e que se revestiu de grande brilho, seguindo-se um almoço que Suas Excelências tiveram a gentileza de me oferecer.

20. No final da tarde do dia 7 de setembro, di rigi-me à sede da Organização dos Estados Americanos, onde se desenvolveria, às 19 horas, a cerimônia de assinatura dos Tratados. Todos os Chefes das Delegações presen tes (relação em anexo) firmaram, antes de se iniciar a ce rimônia, a "Declaração de Washington", nos termos do modelo negociado. A Declaração (cuja integra se em anexo) anota que o Tratado do Canal do Panama se seia no reconhecimento da soberania da República do Panami sobre a totalidade de seu território nacional; conside ra que a solução da questão do Canal representa um passo transcedental em direção ao fortalecimento das relações entre as nações do Hemisfério ocidental, bascado no interesse comum, igualdade e respeito mútuo pela soberania e independência de cada Estado; reconhece a importância, pa ra o comercio e a navegação hemisféricos e mundiais, dos entendimentos que asseguram o acesso permanente e a neu-

SECRETO

Mel

AMEXO 9

12 32 2113, Haysom Butting Waterster, D.C. 20515 (202) 225-5271

PAUL FINDLEY

COMMITTEES; INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS AGRICULTURE

Congress of the Anited States House of Representatives

Washington, D. C.

September 6, 1977

His Excellency General Pereira dos Santos Vice-President Government of Brazil Embassy of Brazil Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Vice-President:

Learning with great pleasure today of your presence in the United States of America, I welcome the opportunity to report concerning a proposal on nuclear policy which I formulated during my recent visit as a member of a Congressional Study Mission to Latin America.

The proposal I set forth in this letter is strictly my own. It was formulated entirely by myself, without consultation or knowledge of the White House or Department of State. Its formulation occurred entirely during my travels in Latin America, which occurred from August 9 to August 23, 1977.

I hope very earnestly that it can be given consideration by the Government of Brazil and the Government of Argentina and that it can contribute in some measure to the creation of a spirit conducive to improved international relations.

Here is my proposal:

A bilateral, on-site nuclear verification agreement between Argentina and Brazil could help to arrest mounting concern over the character of each country's ultimate nuclear aspirations.

I reached this conclusion during a recent Congressional study mission that included discussions with top officials in Brazil, Argentina, Chile, Peru, Ecuador; and Colombia.

The agreement I envisage would be one in which Brazil and Argentina renounce any intention of developing a nuclear explosive device and agree to accept continuing, mutual, on-site monitoring of their respective nuclear facilities. Each nation would let facilities.

Argentina and Brazil have been natural competitors on many planes over the years and probably will remain so in the future. They have a long common border. Each has a great diversity of

page 2

resources, technical competence and a burgeoning economy.

Argentina is presently the most advanced nuclear power in Latin America, with several natural-uranium-fueled power plants in operation and under construction. Its technology includes a laboratory-size plant for reprocessing spent fuel.

Brazil, whose pursuit of nuclear development is more recent than Argentina's, has contracted with West Germany to buy a complete nuclear fuel technology based on the use of enriched uranium and including equipment for reprocessing spent fuel. The latter, of course, will yield substantial quantities of plutonium usable for weapons.

Neither country is currently a party to the Non-Proliferation Treaty, though both have taken some steps toward entering into the Treaty of Tlateloco. However, this treaty, important as it is, accepts the distinction between peaceful and military nuclear explosive devices, a distinction that the U.S. and other supplier states now prudently recognize to be artificial and meaningless.

Both Brazil and Argentina have frequently renounced any intention of building or otherwise acquiring nuclear weapons, but apprehension persists that, in the absence of solid safeguards, future circumstances might impel one of them to go the weapons route. If so, the other would surely follow. With the giants of South America armed with nuclear weapons, a chain reaction might bring them swiftly to other Latin nations. Such a situation would promote neither security nor stability in the Western Hemisphere.

The bilateral pledges and inspection arrangements I have described would not be a substitute for International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards nor for specific individual supplier state provisos, but rather would provide an important additional element of assurance and protection between two states whose relations have at times been quite troubled. The agreement in question would strengthen the web of checks and decrease any incentive for abuse and diversion. The agreement by Brazil and Argentina to check themselves should be doubly reassuring to neighboring states and to the world at large. There are other situations in other parts of the world where tensions among regional powers are so great as to require checks beyond those inherent in customary safeguard arrangements. This kind of bilateral verification could serve as a model for such situations, helping, by means of the added protection it would provide, to assure that traditional safeguards are not subjected to intense or unbearable strain.

page 3

*The agreement would have these advantages:

- It would elicit worldwide applause for the two neighboring countries—which would consummate the agreement entirely on their own without participation or pressure by the nuclear weapons
- 2) It would be the first major agreement between the countries in many years and might create an atmosphere that would be supportive of agreements in other fields as well.
- 3) It would not involve concessions or cost by either state. Brazil and Argentina already have a cordial, informal, cooperative relationship among their nuclear officials and, inasmuch as both have renounced nuclear weapons, neither would be disadvantaged by accepting continuing on-site inspection.

During my initial exploration of the possibility of a nuclear verification agreement between Argentina and Brazil with officials around Latin America, I sensed a great receptivity to this positive, cooperative step. I hope, therefore, that Argentina and Brazil will pursue this concept, thus promoting a reduction of tensions and greater understanding between these two nations. This would be in the best interests of the entire Western Hemisphere.

I had the honor to present this idea briefly to Deputy Foreign Minister Geraldo Holanda Cavalcanti.

Warm regards,

Paul Findley
Representative in Congress

This report was submitted from HIS excellency the VICE PRESIDENT, TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE PRESIDENT, CONCERNING THE OFFICIAL MISSION TO WASHINGTON D.C., WHILE REPRESENTING BRAZIL AT THE TREATY SIGNING CEREMONIES ON THE NEW AGREEMENTS OVER THE PANAMA CANAL, ON THE 7TH OF SETEMBER, 1977.

[...]

17. On the morning of the 7th of September, I received, in the embassy's chancellery, the representative Robert Findley, a Democrat from the state of ILLINOIS. [Ed. Note: almost certainly Paul Findley, Republican from Illinois.] He declared that he was acting on his own initiative, representing neither the United States nor the Democratic Party, and that his objective was to communicate his ideas on the matter of Brazilian - Argentinian cooperation in the field of nuclear energy. After a few brief and vague comments, and then referring to a meeting he had on the matter with a highly placed member of Itamaraty, [the Brazilian Foreign Ministry] he handed me a letter, which I hereby included with this correspondence to your Excellency. I mentioned, in response, Brazil's political position on nuclear matters, as was defined by your Excellency, reaffirming, as a matter to conclude the conversation, that Brazil was not interested in obtaining nuclear arms, but rather in obtaining nuclear energy, which is essential to its growth.

18 Right after that, I received a courtesy visit from Argentina's Minister of Foreign Affairs and Worship, Chancellor Oscar Antonio Montes, who came to pay his respects for Brazil's Independence Day. During the meeting, he referred to the continual state of friendship between the two countries. I became interested in Admiral Guzetti's state of health, which according to the Chancellor is steadily improving. While we were talking, the Argentinean Chancellor revealed to me he also had objected to the final paragraph of the "Washington Declaration," calling to my attention the mutual position of our two countries on this matter. Finally, Minister Montes said that he was unaware, until now, about any objections from American senators about the signing of the new agreements, as previously referred.

- 19. Starting at 12:00 p.m., I, along with my delegation, participated in a reception at the Brazilian Embassy, offered by the Ambassador and Ms. João Baptista Pinheiro, for the Brazilian Independence Day, which was presented in great style, following a lunch that Your Excellencies kindly offered me.
- 20. At the end of evening of 7th of September, I went to the Headquarters of the Organization of American States, where the signing ceremony would begin at 7 a.m.. All the heads of the delegation that were there (document attached) signed, before the ceremony began, the "Washington Declaration," in accordance with the negotiated model. The declaration, (which follows attached) states that the Panama Canal Treaty is based on the recognition of the sovereignty of the Republic of Panama over all of its national territory; that the solution on the matter of the Canal represents a transcendental step towards strengthening relations between countries in the Western Hemisphere, based on common interests, equality, and mutual respect for the sovereignty of each state, recognizing its importance for hemispheric and global commerce and navigation, of the understandings that guaranteed the permanent access and the

[...]