## September 18, 1971 Letter, UNCURK Principal Secretary Ozbudun to UN Chef de Cabinet Narasimhan

## Citation:

"Letter, UNCURK Principal Secretary Ozbudun to UN Chef de Cabinet Narasimhan", September 18, 1971, Wilson Center Digital Archive, "International incidents and disputes - Korea - correspondence general (603.1)," Executive Office of the Secretary-General, S-0196-0005-02, United Nations Archives and Records Management Section (UN ARMS), New York, NY. Obtained for NKIDP by Charles Kraus. https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/document/117501

## Summary:

Ozbudun believes UNCURK should not participate in the Red Cross talks between the two Koreas.

## Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Leon Levy Foundation

# **Original Language:**

English

## **Contents:**

Original Scan

## Wilson Center Digital Archive Original Scan

UNITED NATIONS



NATIONS UNIES

UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION FOR THE UNIFICATION AND REHABILITATION OF KOREA UNCURK

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

18 September 1971

#### RED CROSS NEGOTIATIONS

Dear Mr. Narasimhan,

I believe that the Secretary-General would undoubtedly be concerned with the intended "decision" at some future date of the ROK National Red Cross to propose to its DPRK counterpart the "participation" of UNCURK at negotiations "in Secul or Pyongyang.

If the ROK officials have missed the point conveyed to them by the Principal Secretary and members of UNCURK, they may endeavour to involve the Commission at some future date.

The undesirability of UNCURK involvement is clear. This would not be beneficial unless both sides welcomed the participation of the Commission. The allergy of the DPRK side vis-a-vis such "participation" cannot be eradicated at least in the foreseeable future.

I must recall to your attention, however, as I did inform the members with appropriate caution, that UNCURK indeed possesses a specific mandate (among others) where such participation on its part is indicated. The ROK might not be aware of this particular terms of reference (and it will not be up to me to remind them of it), as follows:

1. UNCURK was accorded, among others, the task of assuming the functions "hitherto" exercised by the United Nations Commission on Korea (UNCOK) /Res. 376(V), 7 October 19507.

The functions of UNCOK referred to above were set out 2. in paragraph 1 of resolution 293 (IV) of 21 October 1949.

Paragraph (b) of that resolution states: 3.

"...shall .... "

"Seek to facilitate the removal of barriers to economic, social and other friendly intercourse caused by the division of Korea; and make available

Mr. C. V. Narasimhan Chef de Cabinet United Nations New York

### Wilson Center Digital Archive

#### **Original Scan**

- 2 -

its good offices and be prepared to asist, whenever in its judgement a favourable opportunity arises, in bringing about the unification of Korea in accordance with the principles laid down by the General Assembly in the resolution of 14 November 1947:"

4. Paragraph (c) of the aforesaid resolution states that UNCOK:

"...shall ... "

"Have the authority, in order to accomplish the aims defined under sub-paragraph(s)....(b) of the present paragraph, in its discretion to appoint observers and to utilize the services and good offices of one or more persons whether or not representatives on the Commission;"

I have deemed it my duty to inform the members of the mandate, however, with full concurrence with their posture not to involve themselves, at the present juncture at any rate.

I believe that UNCURK should beware against possibly being used as a pretext for the breakdown of the historic Red Cross talks.

Please advise if you do no concur fully.

With warmest personal regards,

Sincerely yours,

Ahmet H. Ozbudun Principal Secretary