

July 7, 1962 Investigation of the Automobile of the Soviet Consulate

Citation:

"Investigation of the Automobile of the Soviet Consulate", July 7, 1962, Wilson Center Digital Archive, PRC FMA 118-01082-04, 57-59. Translated by Charles Kraus. https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/document/118205

Summary:

Consulate personnel are barred from leaving downtown Yining, leading to a violation of local government rules and an investigation of a Soviet official.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from MacArthur Foundation

Original Language:

Chinese

Contents:

Original Scan
Translation - English

62.07.07

118-01082-04

P.57-58

L伊犂地方当局鉴于伊犂地区当前情况,从保証領館人員安全出 发, 曾规定领館人員不要外出旅行和离开伊宁市区, 伊犂外事分处曹 洪章同志于5月28日曾将这一规定通知了苏联駐伊宁領事館領事塔 恰尔金同志。

5月29日下午3时領館秘書切莫羅牟柯同志用电話与伊黎外亭 分处毋天蝎同志联系," 讀求允許其与司机在 5 月 3 0 日离开市区", 毋天蝎同志答复"你所提的問題侍我报告領导决定后再答复你。"但 切莫霍年柯幷未得到伊黎外事分外的允許, 竟在5月30日上午, 乘 車駛离市区回苏联。

5月29日伊宁市发生反革命暴乱,伊宁市卫戍司令部即于当天 晚上发出通告宣布戒严,并规定在戒严期間对所有駛入伊宁市的車輛 实行检查。

切奠霍年柯同志于5月30日下午5时半从苏联返回,当他乘車 駛抵綏定县城时已近戒严时間,我交通检查人員因事先幷未得到有关 領館人員外出的通知。便按职資規定要求領館汽車到我检查站进行一 下登記,但切莫響年柯同志竟蛮橫地加以拒絕并說了很多藐視我地方 当局的話。我方检查人員对切的这种蛮横无理的态度作了最大的忍讓。 一方面指出切的这种蛮横、放蜂的态度是不对的,同时仍要其在路过 我們的检查站时去补办一下手續,切也在衰面上同意了我方意界,但 在到达我检查站跟前时,却又背約拒絕前往,且乘我方人員与其談話 之机示意司机突然开跑。企图逃避检查夺路而走,切的这种行为当即 被我方检查人员严厉制止,并出示了我检查机关的执照。而切居然无 視我 地方当局权力, 两次将我方人员的执照和紅綠旗摔在地下。 还誣 称我方检查人员是"強盜",在此种情况下,我检查人員按职务规定

-2-

在站外公路上对切的車輛进行了检查,检查后即予放行。

以上是这次检查的經过情况,此外还应該指明的是:

一、領館秘書离开市区去苏联并未得到伊黎外事分处的允许,这严重的违犯我当地政府规定的行为。

三整个車輛检查工作是在我方检查人員非常有礼貌的情况下进行的,你們在6月19日口头通知中所提出的"来者冲进領館的汽車。 抓住切莫霍年柯和馬尔季雅諾夫同志的手、用'TT'牌手枪对准他们'、'把汽車上的物品扔到路上"等指責毫无根据。因此,我們不能接受。

四5月31日伊黎外事分处曹洪章同志在接見塔恰尔金領事时, 曾当面向其指出領館秘書不遵守当地政府规定是不对的,并說明我交 通人員对并未得到我有关部門允許即行外出的領館車輛进行检查是宗 全必要的,塔恰尔金領事对此亦当坊表示接受;現在你們竟又节外生 枝地提出什么外事分处进行"辩护"問題来,他人难以理解。

五你在6月19日談話中會提出: 当他們进入綏定城时(在上述 事件发生之前)二位身着民警制服人員在查詢了切与馬的証件之后, 通知說已得到伊犂外事分外关于領事館工作人員又出差的通知。 幷說 領事館工作人員可以前往伊宁的說法,是不真实的。因为在整个車輛

應者必见

118-01082-04

检查过程中,伊黎外事分处从未与检查人员通过任何电話。1

抄: 总理办公室 外办(5) 总参(9) 警司 陈

办公(3) 苏默(2) 領事 存一 共 2.7分

中机原已抄: 公安(2) 松7日3:15

秒7日8:55

收213

The local authorities in Yili [Ili], considering the current conditions in Yili and to guarantee the safety of consular personnel, stipulated that consulate personnel are not to travel or leave downtown Yining [Gulja]. Comrade Cao Hongzhang of Yili Office of the [Xinjiang] Foreign Affairs Department notified Comrade Ta-qia-er-jin [sic], Consul of the Consulate of the Soviet Union in Yining, of this on 28 May.

At 3:00 p.m. on 20 May, the Consulate Secretary, Comrade Qie-mo-huo-nian-ke [sic], called upon Comrade Mu Tianxi of the Yili Office of the Foreign Affairs Department, "asking that he and his driver be allowed to leave downtown Yili on 30 May." Mu Tianxi replied, "I expect to reply to you after I report this to the leaders and receive their approval." However, the secretary, without the permission of the Yili Office of the Foreign Affairs Department, left downtown Yili and returned to the Soviet Union on the morning of 30 May.

On 29 May, an anti-revolutionary riot took place in Yining. The Yining Garrison Headquarters declared a curfew that evening and further stipulated that during the curfew all cars would be searched upon entering Yining.

Comrade Qie-mo-huo-nian-ke left for the Soviet Union at half past five on the afternoon of 30 May. He reached Shuiding County by car toward the start of curfew. As our inspectors did not receive advance notice that consulate personnel were going out, [they] requested, according to stipulations, that the consulate car be taken to a checkpoint and registered. However, Comrade Qie-mo-huo-nian-ke rudely refused and said many contemptuous words against our local authorities. Our inspectors tolerated a rude attitude to the maximum extent by pointing out that such a presumptuous and rude attitude was wrong on the one hand and, on the other hand, requested that he clear the formalities at our inspection station. He agreed to our proposal on the surface but refused to enter the inspection station upon arrival. Additionally, he, aiming to avoid the inspection, signaled for the driver to escape when our personnel were talking to him. Qie-mo-huo-nian-ke's behavior was strictly curbed by our inspectors, who also displayed the inspection license. However, the secretary paid no attention to the rights of our local authority and threw the license and the red and green flag to the ground twice. He also made the false accusation that our inspectors were "robbers," and, under these circumstances, falsely accused our inspectors fouled our inspectors as robbers. Under such circumstances, our inspectors checked the car on the highway outside of the inspection station according to rules and released the car immediately following the inspection.

It is also noteworthy that:

- 1. The secretary, by leaving for the Soviet Union without the permission of the Yili Office of the Foreign Department, severely violated the rules of our local government.
- 2. Yining was under curfew at that time and the local authorities had to check all cars in Yining as a special measure at a time of an emergency. Our inspectors did not receive advance notice that the consulate car was going out and, for that reason, they had to check all cars entering Yining, including the secretary's car. However, the secretary not only refused the inspections, but also made false accusations that our inspectors were robbers. We could not help but feel angered by this outrageous disregard of the stipulations of Chinese local authorities.
- 3. The car inspection process was conducted by our inspectors in a very polite manner. The accusations in your verbal notice on 19 June that "the inspectors rushed into the car of the consulate, snatched Comrades Qie-mo-huo-nian-ke and Ma-er-li-ya-nuo-fu [sic] by the hands, and aimed a TT pistol at them" and "threw the articles in the car onto the roadside" are totally groundless. Therefore, we cannot accept your criticism.

- 4. During his meeting with Consul Ta-qia-er-jin on 31 May, Comrade Cao Hongzhang pointed out that the secretary had violated the rules of the local government and reiterated that it had been necessary to check any consulate car that went out without the permission of the relevant authorities. Consul Ta-qia-er-jin accepted our argument at that time. It is very difficult to understand why you are now making a new problem out of the Office of the Foreign Affairs Department's "defense" of it itself.
- 5. You mentioned during a discussion on 19 June that, when they entered Shuiding (before the event took place), two uniformed guards, after inspecting Qie-mo-huo-nian-ke and Ma-er-li-ya-nuo-fu's paperwork, notified them that they had already received a circular from the Yili Office of the Foreign Affairs Department on consulate staff travel and that the consulate workers were allowed to go to Yining. But this is not true because, during the entire vehicle inspection process, the Yili Office of the Foreign Affairs Department had no phone calls with the inspectors.