

NLF MR Case No. 94-146

Document No. # 36

ACTION COPY



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

NOD868

PAGE 21 SEQU 09487 123916Z

10
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W

COPY 1 OF 15 COPIES

095521

O 120818Z DEC 75
FM AMEMBASSY SEOUL
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 4369

~~SECRET~~ SEOUL 9487

NODIS

DEPT PASS TOKYO, OTTAWA, PARIS, IAEA VIENNA, FOR INFO

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: MNUC FARM KS FR CA
SUBJECT: ROK NUCLEAR REPROCESSING

REF: A. STATE 285640 B. SEOUL 9440 C. SEOUL 9459

1. NOW THAT I HAVE MADE CALL ON PRIME MINISTER ON NUCLEAR RE-
PROCESSING, I STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT PLANNED APPROACH ON THIS
ISSUE TO AMBASSADOR HAHM IN WASHINGTON (PARA 4, REF A) BE MADE
SOONEST SO THERE IS AMPLE TIME FOR HIS REPORT TO BE TRANSMITTED
AND DIGESTED PRIOR TO ANY MEETING I MAY HAVE WITH PRESIDENT
PARK NEXT WEEK. GIVEN SERIOUSNESS OF ISSUE AND HIGH LEVEL ROKG

INVOLVEMENT, CONVERSATION WITH HAHM SHOULD BE CONDUCTED AT
HIGHEST POSSIBLE LEVEL.

2. CONVERSATION WITH PRIME MINISTER (REF B) INDICATES THAT TO
BE EFFECTIVE OUR APPROACH MUST EMPHASIZE ADVERSE IMPACT ON-
OUR BROADER RELATIONSHIP WITH ROKG IF THEY PERSIST IN COMPLETING
FRENCH REPROCESSING DEAL. THIS POINT IS REINFORCED BY INITIAL
NEGATIVE REACTION TO APPROACH TO PRIMIN WHICH I RECEIVED
FROM DEPUTY PRIMIN HAHM THIS MORNING (REF C). THUS, IN APPROACH
TO HAHM I BELIEVE WE MUST MAKE INDELIBLY CLEAR THAT FAR MORE
THAN OUR NUCLEAR SUPPORT IS AT STAKE HERE, THAT IF ROKS PROCEED
AS THEY HAVE INDICATED TO DATE WHOLE RANGE OF SECURITY AND
POLITICAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN US AND ROK WILL BE AFFECTED,



Department of State

TELEGRAM

~~SECRET~~

PAGE 02 SECUL 09407 100915Z

INCLUDING POTENTIAL FOR ADVERSE CONGRESSIONAL ACTION ON SECURITY ASSISTANCE FOR KOREA. WHILE NOT STINTING ON NEGATIVE FACTORS, I WOULD SUGGEST ALONG POSITIVE LINES ASKING ROK COOPERATION IN FOREGOING NATIONAL REPROCESSING IN INTEREST OF PRESERVING AND EVEN STRENGTHENING OUR RELATIONSHIP, A LINE I

INTEND TO TAKE WITH PRESIDENT PARK. WE SHOULD BY ALL MEANS REITERATE OTHER ECONOMIC AND TECHNICAL ARGUMENTS WE HAVE MADE IN PAST BUT AS DEPARTMENT RECOGNIZES IN REF D THESE ARE NOT LIABLE AT THIS STAGE TO WEIGH HEAVILY IN ROK THINKING.

3. Section 3.4(b)(6) of E.O. 12958



~~SECRET~~