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Attitudes of Various Countries towards the Asian-African Conference on the Eve of the Conference

(Information collected by April 10)

1. The Attitude of India

On the eve of the Asian-African Conference, the attitudes of the participating countries are getting clearer. So far as the present development, the force supporting peace and neutrality is increasing.

The speech given by Nehru on March 31 represented the attitude of the countries supporting peace and neutrality. Nehru stressed in his speech that the significance of the Asian-African Conference lay in the “rise of Asia and Africa” and hoped that the conference would “directly and clearly put forward the Five Principles”. He criticized the Manila Treaty, the Middle East Military Alliance and USA for creating tension along the Chinese coastal islands.

Nehru’s speech broke the low and deep tone of India, Burma, etc since the Bangkok Conference. At that time, Nehru expressed that the conference wouldn’t discuss the much controversial issues, saying that co-existence was not stressed and he didn’t know what results the conference would achieve. U Nu only said that it would be satisfactory if only all of us could meet each other at the conference. But this speech rather clearly expressed India’s attitude and position, which will surely influence Burma, Indonesia, etc.

2. The Attitude of Egypt

India worked hard to draw over and influence Egypt and other Arab states.

After Nehru’s talks with the former Cambodian King and U Nu, the Egyptian Prime Minister Nasir and the Afghan Vice Prime Minister Naim Khan will visit India. The Syrian Vice Prime Minister will also visit India after the meeting. On April 6, India and Egypt signed a Friendship Treaty. Recently, the foreign news agencies and the Indian side repeatedly said that Egypt would follow India’s neutralist policy in the Asian-African Conference. The British Scotchmen carried an article, indicating that Nasir should not follow India too close to affect its relations with the UK. With regard to Egypt, the contradictions between Egypt and USA, UK, Turkey, etc increased after the signature of the Turkey-Iraq Treaty so that Egypt wishes to get support from the Asian-African countries in the Asian-African Conference in order to strengthen its position for bargaining with imperialism, which is why Egypt inclines to neutralism. Egypt's act will also influence Syria, Saudi Arabia and Yemen to follow the Egyptian policy. An article carried by the Paris Forum of France had to admit that the Asian-African Conference would have an immeasurable meaning to the Arab states.
With the increase of the positive factor of peace and neutral countries, their dark and anti-
Communist side still exists. Nehru stressed the “non-interference with each other’s internal affairs”
in the Five Principles, believing that “the saying of the Communist interference in other countries’
internal affairs is correct”. The Shabang Monthly of Ceylon even claimed that the Asian-African
Conference should “absolutely not interfere with each other’s internal affairs”, asked “China to
guarantee that it will not carry out subversive activities by infiltration tactics”, and demanded the
Soviet Union to “dismiss the KGB”, etc., which reflects that some of the Asian countries have doubt
about and fear of China, which are just used by UK and USA to spread rumors and sow discord.
The article published by the British Information Office attempted to make use of Tibet, border,
overseas Chinese and other issues to sow discord.

3. The Attitudes of Thailand and the Philippines

The anti-peace and anti-neutral countries headed by Thailand and the Philippines in recent
days were busy with their preparations to undermine the conference.

To counter the mood of anti-colonialism and demanding national independence of the
Asian-African countries, the anti-peace and anti-neutral countries may raise the anti-Communist “
Pacific Charter”, alleging that this “Charter” aims at maintaining “national independence” and
“national autonomy” and it “does not only oppose the old colonialism, but also neocolonialism”. The
assistant foreign minister of Thailand said on March 31 that Thailand would advertise the Manila
Treaty in the conference, and news from Manila said that the Philippines would ask the conference
to accept the “Pacific Charter”.

To counter the Five Principles of Peaceful Co-existence, the anti-peace and anti-neutral
countries will put forward the issues of opposing “Communist infiltration and internal subversion”. In
recent days, the Thai foreign minister repeatedly spread the rumor that China was training 3,000 to
5,000 Thai Chinese and Thais as spies, and said that Thailand was concerned with the overseas
Chinese in Thailand and once again mentioned the Naibili issue.

The tone is no different from the recent US propaganda. The news from Tokyo by the
Deputy Director of UPI Hoberechit on March 24 and the special background material published by the
US Information Office on April 3 attempted to cover up US aggressive actions in Southeast
Asia and said that the US “supports the national independence of the Philippines, Indonesia, etc”. On
April 7, the US Information Office published another “special background material”, making use
of the issues of overseas Chinese, border, Korean War, Vietnamese War, the asylum of Naibili and
Singh in China, the increase of our military strength, etc, to spread rumors and made instigations,
asserting that China “doesn’t abide by the Five Principles”.

4. The Attitude of Japan

After Japan announced that Takasaki Tatssunosuke was the Chief Representative of
Japan to attend the Asian-African Conference on April 1, Takasaki met Hatoyama to talk about
Japan’s action in the conference. It is said that Japan will not support any practical anti-colonialist
proposals, only agreeing with most of the delegations on the opinions concerning the general
principle of national independence, and that if the conference is divided into two hostile groups,
Japan will stand on the side of the “American allies”.

The Japanese Yumiuri Shimbun said that Hatoyama would apologize to the Asian
countries invaded by Japan in the conference, guarantee to use Japanese technologies to develop
Asian countries, and ask to expand cultural exchange among Asian countries. Japan would pay
great attention to the economic discussion, and the Japanese representative would bring with him
a lot of advertising materials about Japan’s economic potentiality and trading plans.